

VIA FAX (202-588-5020) AND CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

SEP 19 201

Melanie Sloan, Executive Director Center for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington 1400 Eye Street, NW, Suite 450 Washington, DC 20005

RE: MUR 6314

Dear Ms. Sloan:

This is in reference to the complaint you filed with the Federal Election Commission on June 16, 2010, concerning Gregory Brown and Gregory Brown for Congress. On March 17, 2011, the Commission found that there is reason to believe Gregory Brown for Congress and Carol Bausinger, in her official capacity as treasurer ("the Committee"), violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 433(a), 434(a), and 434(b), provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, and 11 C.F.R. § 104.12 of the Commission's Regulations. On September 15, 2011, a conciliation agmentant signed by the Commission.

In addition, on March 17, 2011, the Commission found that there is no reason to believe Gregory Brown violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(a). Accordingly, the Commission closed the file in this matter on September 15, 2011.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009). A copy of the agreement with the Committee is encioned for your information. In addition, a cupy of the Factual and Legal Analysis, which further explains the Commission's determination, is anchosed. If you have any questions, please contest me at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely

Margaret Ritzert Attorney

Enclosures
Conciliation Agreement(s)
Factual and Legal Analysis

1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL EL	ECTION COMMISSION		~		
2	•		<u> </u>	2011		
3	In the matter of)	<u> </u>	SEP		
4). MUR 6314	Sm			
5		}	三	-2		
0	Gregory Brown for Congress and		<u> </u>	-		
6	Carol Bausinger, in her inflicial capacity	.		AM : 1		
8	as treasurer	,	20			
10	CONCILIATION	AGREEMENT	£-	£		
11	This matter was initiated by a signed, swori	n, and notarized complaint by	Melanie	Sloan		
12	and Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington, and pursuant to infirmation					
13	ascentained by the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") in the normal course of					
14	carrying out its supervisory responsibilities. The C	commission found reason to be	elieve the	ıt .		
15	Gregory Brown for Congress and Carol Bausinger, in her official capacity as treasurer,					
-16	("Respondents" or "Committee") violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 433(a), 434(a), and 434(b), and					
17	11 C.F.R. § 104.12.					
18	NOW, THEREFORE, the Commission and the Respondents, having participated in					
19	informal methods of conciliation, prior to a finding of probable cause to believe, do hereby agree					
20	as follows:			•		
21	I. The Commission has jurisdiction over the Respondents and the subject matter of this					
22	proseeding, and this agreement has the effect of an agreement entered pursuant to 2 U.S.C.					
23	§ 437g(a)(4)(A)(i).					
24	II Respondents have had a reasonable opp	ortunity to demonstrate that n	o action	should		
25	be taken in this matter.					
26	III. Respondents enter voluntarily into this	agreement with the Commiss	ion.			
27	TV The postinget facts in this courter are as	. C -11				

KON

:

- 1. Gregory Brown was a candidate in the June 8, 2010, South Carolina primary election,
- 2 seeking the Democratic nomination to represent the state's Sixth Congressional District in the
- 3 U.S. House of Representatives.
- 4 2. Mr. Brown designated Gregory Brown for Congress and Carol Bausinger, in her
- 5 official capacity as treasurer, as his authorized principal campaign committee on February 1,
- 6 2010.

7

14

Statement of Organization

- 8 3. The Federal Election Campaign Ast of 1971, as amended, ("the Act") requires a
- 9 candidate's authorized principal campaign committee to file a Statement of Organization within
- 10 ten days of designation, 2 U.S.C. § 433(a). As Mr. Brown designated the Committee as his
- 11 principal campaign committee on February 1, 2010, the Committee should have filed its
- 12 Statement of Organization by February 11, 2010. Instead, the Committee filed its Statement of
- 13 Organization on April 26, 2010 74 days late.

<u>Timeliness of Disclosure Reports</u>

- 4. A principal campaign committee of a candidate for the House of Representatives, in
- any calendar year during which there is a regularly scheduled election for which the candidate is
- 17 seeking election, shall file quartetly reports no later than the 15th day after the last day of each
- 18 calendar quarter. 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(iii). Furthermore, such committee shall file a pre-
- 19 election report no later than the 12th day before any election in which such candidate is seeking
- 20 election. 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(i).
- 21 5. The 2010 April Quarterly Report was due on April 15, 2010, and its 12-Day Pre-
- 22 Primary Report was due on May 27, 2010. The Committee filed its 2010 April Quarterly Report
- 23 on June 10, 2010 56 days late. The Committee did not file a 2010 12-Day Pre-Primary Report;

- 1 however, the activity during the same period was eventually disclosed in the 2010 July Quarterly
- 2 Report originally filed on June 10, 2010 and later amended on June 11 and June 20, 2010.

Content of Disclosure Reports

- 6. The Act requires political committees to report the amount of cash-on-hand at the
- 5 beginning of the reporting period, as well as identify each person who makes aggregate
- 6 contributions in excess of \$200 in an election cycle. 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(1) and (3). Commission
- 7 regulations further ciarify that committees which next cash-on-hand at the time of their
- 8 registration shall disclose the sources of such funds on their first report. 11 C.F.R. § 104.12.
- 9 The Act also requires that reports disclose the amount and nature of outstanding debts and
- obligations owed by the political committee. 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(8).
- 7. Mr. Brown deposited the initial funds in the Committee's account, and thereafter the
- 12 Committee ran a negative balance. The Committee's first report filed with the Commission, the
- 13 2010 April Quarterly Report, discloses an initial cash balance of \$10,000, but does not disclose
- 14 Mr. Brown as the source of that initial cash balance. The Amended 2010 April Quarterly Report
- also discloses a negative ending cash-on-hand balance of \$54,118.45, and does not disclose any
- 16 debts or obligations.
- 17 V. 1. Respondents violated 2 U.S.C. § 433(a) by filing the Statement of
- 18 Organization 74 days late.
- 2. Respondents violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(a) by filing the 2010 April Quarterly
- 20 Report 56 days late and by failing to file the 2010 12-Day Pre-Primary Report.
- 21 3. Respondents violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.12 by failing to
- 22 disclose an initial \$10,000 contribution from Mr. Brown and thereafter failing to accurately
- 23 report its cash-on-hand and debt on its 2010 April Quarterly Report.

: 13

: 12

1	VI. 1. In ordinary circumstances, the Commission would seek a substantially higher
2	civil penalty based on the violations outlined in this agreement. However, the Commission is
3	taking into account the fact that the Committee is defunct, has no cash on hand according to the
4	evidence available, and has a limited ability to raise any additional funds. Respondents will pay
5	a civil penalty to the Commission in the amount of \$4,500, pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(5)(B).
6	2. Respondents will cease and desist committing violations of 2 U.S.C. §§ 433(a)
· 7	434(a), and 434(b), and 11 C.F.R. § 104.12.
8	3. Respondents will amend the relevant disclosure reports to accurately reflect
9	the contribution from Mr. Brown and debts and obligations referenced at paragraphs IV.7 and
10	V.3 within 30 days.
11	VII. The Commission, on request of anyone filing a complaint under 2 U.S.C.
12 ·	§ 437g(a)(1) concerning the matters at issue herein or on its own motion, may review compliance
13	with this agreement. If the Commission believes that this agreement or any requirement thereof
14	has been violated, it may institute a civil action for relief in the United States District Court for
15	the District of Columbia.
16	VIII. This agreement shall become effective as of the date that all parties hereto have
17	executed same and the Commission has approved the entire agreement.
18	IX. Respondents shall have no more than 30 days from the data this agreement becomes
19	effective to comply with and implement the requirements contained in this agreement and to so
20	notify the Commission.

- 1 X. This Conciliation Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties on
- 2 the matters raised herein, and no other statement, promise, or agreement, either written or oral,
- 3 made by either party or by agents of either party, that is not contained within this written
- 4 agreement shall be enforceable.
- 5 FOR THE COMMISSION:
- 6 P. Christopher Hughey
- 7 Acting General Counsel

8 BY: 9 -- Kathleen Guith Date

10 Acting Associate General Counsel

12 FOR THE RESPONDENTS

For Enforcement

13 14 Eleazer R. Carer

15 Counsel

August 24,2011

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
2 3 4	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
5 6 7 8 9	RESPONDENTS: Gregory Brown for Congress and Carol Bansinger, in her offinial capacity as treasurer Gregory Brown		
10 11	L GENERATION OF MATTER		
12	This matter was generated based on information ascertained by the Federal Election		
13	Commission ("Countrission") in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory		
14	responsibilities, see 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(2), and by a complaint filed with the Commission by		
15	Melanie Sloan and Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington.		
16	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
17	A. Factual Background		
18	Gregory Brown was a candidate in the June 8, 2010, South Carolina primary election,		
19	seeking the Democratic nomination to represent the state's Sixth Congressional District in the		
20	U.S. House of Representatives. On February 1, 2010, Mr. Brown filed a Statement of Candidacy		
21	with the Commission that designated Gregory Brown for Congress and Carol Bausinger, in her		
22	official capacity as treasurer, ("Committee") as his principal campaign committee. The		
23	Committee filed its Statement of Organization on April 26, 2010:		
24	The Committee has filed the following disclosure reports with the Commission:		

1 Table 1. Reports Filed with the Commission

Table 1. Reports 1 fled with the Commission			
Date	Report		
6/10/10	April Quarterly Report		
6/10/10	July Quarterly Report		
6/11/10	July Quarterly Report (Amended)		
6/20/10	April Quarterly Report (Amended)		
6/20/10	July Quarterly Report (Amended)		
7/28/10	Termination Report		
11/7/10	Termination Report		
1/4/11	Termination Report		
2/9/11	Termination Report		

- 2 The Committee attempted to terminate after Mr. Brown lost the primary election, but the Reports
- 3 Analysis Division ("RAD") informed the Committee that it needed to resolve outstanding
- 4 discrepancies in its reports before it could terminate.

1. MUR 6314

- 6 Complainant alleges that Respondents knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C.
- 7 § 434(a)(2)(A)(i), (iii) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.5(a)(1)(i), (2)(i) by failing to file both the 2010 April
- 8 Quarterly and 12-Day Pre-Primary Reports.
- 9 Respondents admit that the disclosure reports were untimely. Respondents maintain that
- any delays in filing the required reports were "not the result of any intentional or willful
- 11 misconduct," and they have filed all required reports. See Response. Without providing any
- 12 specific information, Respondents alaisn that they mistakenly relied on information provided by
- 13 the state political party. They also claim, again without providing any specific information, to
- 14 have relied on information the Commission provided to them regarding filing requirements.¹
- 15 Respondents further claim that they relocated their campaign headquarters, which resulted in lost
- mail and "temporary loss of full communication." *Id*.

There is no record of the Committee contacting RAD until July 27, 2010, after it had filed the Amended 2010 April and July Quarterly Exports.

•	DD	1AT	\mathbf{n}
L.	KK	10L	ーリン

1	2. RR 10L-09
2	On July 1, 2010, RAD sent the Committee two Requests for Additional Information
3	("RFAI's") regarding the 2010 April and July Quarterly Reports, respectively. The Committee
4	has not yet filed a response to these RFAI's; however, the Committee's treasurer contacted a
5	RAD Analyst on July 27, 2010, to inquire about the process for terminating. Between September
6	3, 2010, and September 28, 2010, RAD Analysts made several attempts to contact the
7	Committee tornotify them of potential Commission action. Hewever, as the Committee's phone
8	numbers and e-mail addresses were no longer in service, RAD left a messago at Mr. Brown's
9	business, Keystone Enterprises, which was not returned.
10	On October 18, 2010, RAD referred the Committee to the Office of General Counsel for
11	the following reporting violations:
12 13 14 15	 Failing to correct an initial cash balance of \$10,000 on its 2010 April Quarterly Report, the first disclosure report filed with the Commission. Both the original and Amended April Quarterly Reports reflect this balance, and neither includes a supporting schedule disclosing the source of this initial balance.
16 17 18 19 20	 Failing to correct a negative ending cash-on-hand balance on its April 2010 Quarterly Report. The April Quarterly Report filed on June 10, 2010, discloses a negative ending cash-on-hand balance of \$35,164.65; the amended report, filed ten days later, discloses a negative ending cash-on-hand balance of \$54,118.45.
21 22 23 24 25 26	• Failing to corrept a cash-one-hand balance discrepancy between two consecutive reports: while the Amended 2010 April Quarterly Report discloses a negative ending cash-on-bantl balance of \$54,118.45, the 2010 July Quarterly Report discloses a beginning cash-on-hand balance of \$0.00. The Amended 2010 July Quarterly Reports also disclose a \$0.00 beginning cash-on-hand balance.
27	On December 16, 2010, the Committee responded to the notification of the referral by
28	contending that the candidate demosited the original funds in the numpaign account, and
29	thereafter the campaign ran a negative balance to be paid by the candidate himself. The

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1 Committee acknowledges that there may have been accounting defects, but asserts that there was

2 no inappropriate use of funds.

B. Legal Analysis

4 Under the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), an individual

5 becomes a candidate for federal office when his or her campaign exceeds \$5,000 in contributions

or expenditures. 2 U.S.C. § 431(2). Based on its Amended 2010 April Quarterly Report, it

appears that the Committee exceeded the \$5,000 threshold on Fabruary 2, 2010, when it made a

\$10,000 disbussoment to Steven Fooshe & Associates for a "compaign questionnaire."

Accordingly, Mr. Brown was a candidate for federal office on February 2, 2010, which was the

day after the Commission received Mr. Brown's Statement of Candidacy.

1. MUR 6314: Timeliness of Disclosure Reports

Political committee treasurers are required to file reports of receipts and disbursements in accordance with 2 U.S.C. § 434(a). A principal campaign committee of a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives, in any calendar year during which there is a regularly scheduled election for which its candidate is seeking election, shall file quarterly reports no later than the 15th day after the last day of each calendar quarter. 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(iii). Furthermore, such committee shall file a pre-staction report no later than the 12th day before any election in which such candidate in arthring election. 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(i).

The Committee was required to file the 2010 April Quarterly Report by April 15, 2010.

20 However, the Committee actually filed this report, which disclosed \$400 in receipts and

21 \$45,564.65 in disbursements (later amended to \$64,518.45 in disbursements), on June 10, 2010 -

Although the Amended 2010 April Quarterly Report discloses the \$10,000 disbursement on February 2, 2010, it discloses only \$400 in contributions for the entire reporting period, in addition to the initial \$10,000 cash balance. It is possible that the Committee may have exceeded the \$5,000 contribution threshold before February 2, 2010, through an undisclosed transaction, but there is no information to indicate the nature or amount of this potential undisclosed transaction.

M

10443038

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MUR 6305/RR 10L-09 (Gregory Brown for Congress, et al.) Factual & Legal Analysis
Page 5 of 7

1 56 days late. Similarly, the Committee was also required to file a report no later than twelve

2 days before the June 8, 2010, primary in which Mr. Brown was a candidate. This report, due

3 May 27, 2010, should have covered the period from April 1, 2010, to May 19, 2010. To date, the

4 Committee has not filed this report; however, the same period of activity was eventually covered

by the 2010 July Quarterly Report, which disclosed \$300 in receipts (later amended to \$24,300)

and \$20,504.72 in disbursements (later amended to \$39,366.89) that should have been disclosed

7 on the pre-primary report.

Although the Committee failed to timely file the 2010 April Quarterly Report and failed to file the 2010 12-Day Pre-Primary Report, there is no information to indicate either that these violations were knowing and willful, or that the candidate, Mr. Brown, was personally liable for the Committee's failure to file timely disclosure reports. Accordingly, the Commission finds reason to believe that Gregory Brown for Congress and Carol Bausinger, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(i) and (iii). The Commission also finds no reason to believe that Gregory Brown violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(i) and (iii).

2. RR 10L-09: Content of Disclosure Reports

The Act requires political committees to report the amount of cash-on-hand at the beginning of the reporting period, as well as identify each person who makes aggregate contributions in excess of \$200 in an election cycle. 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(1), (3). Commission regulations further clarify that committees which have cash-on-hand at the time of their registration shall disclose the sources of such funds on their first report. 11 C.F.R. § 104.12. Based on the information provided in the referral, the response, and the Committee's disclosure reports, it appears that Mr. Brown initially made a personal \$10,000 contribution to the Committee, which the Committee disclosed as an initial cash balance on its first report.

. 1 However, by failing to disclose Mr. Brown as the source of its initial \$10,000 cash balance, the

2 Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.12.

The Act also requires that reports disclose the amount and nature of outstanding debts and obligations owed by the political committee. 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(8). Based on the referral, the response, and disclosure reports, it appears that the Committee incurred \$54,118.45 in debt and reported it as a negative cash balance instead of as a debt or obligation. By failing to disclose this \$54,118.45 as debt, the Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(8).

Finally, the disorepancy between the ending each-on-hazal balance on the Avanuable 2010 April Quarterly Report (\$54,118.45) and the beginning cash-on-hand balance on the 2010 July Quarterly Report (\$0.00) could indicate that the Committee failed to disclose all contributions, offsets, and operating expenditures in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(7). However, it appears that this discrepancy is attributable to the misreported debt discussed above: if the Committee had reported \$54,118.45 as debt on its Amended 2010 April Quarterly Report, its ending cash-on-hand balance would be \$0.00, which would match the beginning cash-on-hand balance reported in the 2010 July Quarterly Report. Thus, the discrepancy does not appear to be a separate violation of 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(7). Accordingly, the Commission finds reason to believe that Gregory Brown for Congress and Carol Bausinger, in her afficial capacity as trusturer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(1), (3), and (8) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.12.

3. Late Statement of Organization

Based on the information in its disclosure reports, the Committee appears to have filed its Statement of Organization late. A candidate's authorized principal campaign committee is required to file a Statement of Organization within ten days of designation. 2 U.S.C. § 433(a). Mr. Brown designated the Committee as his principal campaign committee on February 1, 2010; therefore, the Committee should have filed its Statement of Organization by February 11, 2010.

MUR 6305/RR 10L-09 (Gregory Brown for Congress, et al.) Factual & Legal Analysis Page 7 of 7

- 1 Instead, the Committee filed its Statement of Organization on April 26, 2010 74 days late.
- 2 Accordingly, the Commission finds reason to believe that Gregory Brown for Congress and
- 3 Carol Bausinger, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 433(a).